



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,774	11/09/2001	Ting Wang	RID 01058 (03259-00018)	9156
7590	10/02/2007	Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq. Chief Intellectual Property Counsel Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road Billerica, MA 01821-7001	EXAMINER JOHNSON, JERROLD D	
			ART UNIT 3728	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/02/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/039,774	WANG ET AL.
	Examiner Jerrold Johnson	Art Unit 3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jerrold Johnson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Valerie Rosen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mabry and Jorgensen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Mickey Yu  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

The deficiencies in the claims presented in the amendment dated 19 July 2007 were noted by the Examiner and the Applicant was informed that the rejection of the claims would be final and prosecution was closed.

The Examiner and the Ms. Rosen subsequently reached an agreement on language that would define over Mabry/Jorgensen and which would be submitted with an RCE. Those changes are reflected in the amendment dated 12 September 2007.

Jorgensen falls far short of teaching the new claim limitations for the reasons stated in the amendment dated 12 September 2007. Additionally, the Examiner does not have teachings showing the particle shapes that are used in the rubber bales of the present invention, nor does the Examiner have any references other than Mabry which disclose bales of rubber having a high Mooney viscosity and which are compressed to low void volumes as are set forth. Specifically, Mabry does not disclose the claimed void volumes or the particle shapes in the bale.